mpMoRFsDB: a database of molecular recognition features in membrane proteins
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ABSTRACT

Summary: Molecular recognition features (MoRFs) are small, intrinsically disordered regions in proteins that undergo a disorder-to-order transition on binding to their partners. MoRFs are involved in protein–protein interactions and may function as the initial step in molecular recognition. The aim of this work was to collect, organize and store all membrane proteins that contain MoRFs. Membrane proteins constitute ~30% of fully sequenced proteomes and are responsible for a wide variety of cellular functions. MoRFs were classified according to the secondary structure relationships. The position of transmembrane protein MoRFs was determined in relation to a protein’s topology. All information was stored in a publicly available MySQL database with a user-friendly web interface. A Jmol applet is integrated for visualization of the structures. mpMoRFsDB provides valuable information related to disorder-based protein–protein interactions in membrane proteins.

Availability: http://bioinformatics.biol.uoa.gr/mpMoRFsDB

Contact: shamodr@biol.uoa.gr
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1 INTRODUCTION

Intrinsically disordered proteins (IDPs) possess no rigid three-dimensional structure under physiological conditions, yet they are functionally active (Uversky, 2011). IDPs are separated in fully disordered proteins and partially disordered proteins (Oldfield et al., 2005a). Partially disordered proteins contain intrinsically disordered regions (IDRs). IDRs are found in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes. In all, 20–30% of prokaryotic proteins (Dunker et al., 2000) and more than half of eukaryotic proteins contain IDRs (Oldfield et al., 2005a). Vast abundance and functional importance characterize these proteins. For a deeper understanding of IDPs and IDRs, several databases have been developed: DisProt (Vucetic et al., 2005), (Sickmeier et al., 2007), MobiDB (Di Domenico et al., 2012), IDEAL (Fukuchi et al., 2012), ComSin (Lobanov et al., 2010) and D2IP2 (Oates et al., 2013) provide information about experimentally determined or theoretically predicted IDPs and IDRs. Moreover, a variety of predictors have been developed for the prediction of IDRs from protein sequence (He et al., 2009). Special cases of IDRs are molecular recognition features (MoRFs) or molecular recognition elements (Mohan et al., 2006). MoRFs are small regions (between 10 and 70 residues) in proteins that undergo a disorder-to-order transition on binding to their partners (Tompa, 2002; Uversky et al., 2000; Wright and Dyson, 1999). Proteins containing MoRFs play an important role in molecular recognition. When they are bound to their partners, MoRFs can take various shapes according to their secondary structure. They can form alpha-helices (α-MoRFs), beta-strands (β-MoRFs), irregular structures (i-MoRFs) or a combination of the previous elements (complex-MoRFs). A number of predictors are available for the prediction of MoRFs from protein sequences (Cheng et al., 2007; DISfani et al., 2012; Dostanty et al., 2009; Mooney et al., 2012; Oldfield et al., 2005b).

Membrane proteins constitute 30% of fully sequenced proteomes and are responsible for a wide variety of crucial cellular functions, such as binding and signaling (Krogh et al., 2001). Membrane proteins are separated in transmembrane proteins, peripheral membrane proteins and lipid-anchored proteins. Transmembrane proteins are divided into single-spanning and multi-spanning proteins, according to the number of transmembrane segments. An important number of MoRFs can be found in membrane proteins (Mohan et al., 2006) and especially in transmembrane proteins (Kotta-Loizou et al., 2013). IDRs are included in both alpha-helical and beta-barrel transmembrane proteins (Xue et al., 2009) and occur mostly on the cytoplasmic side of human plasma transmembrane proteins (Minezaki et al., 2007; Stavropoulos et al., 2012).

mpMoRFsDB is the first publicly available database that collects and provides information about MoRFs found in membrane proteins.

2 METHODS

An initial dataset was constructed from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) (Berman et al., 2000), following the methodology proposed by Mohan et al. (2006). We retrieved protein complexes containing at least two entities, with one chain varying from 10 to 70 residues and a second one having a length >100 residues (until May 2013). We further removed proteins where the MoRF’s sequence contained errors or not valid amino acid residues, ending up with 2458 PDB entries mapping to 785 unique Uniprot Accession numbers (Uniprot Consortium, 2012). Membrane proteins were selected using Uniprot’s annotation. Moreover, we used the secondary structure assignment and the accessible surface area values inferred by DSSP (Kabsch and Sander, 1983) to categorize MoRFs and to evaluate whether a MoRF can interact with its possible partner, respectively. The position of transmembrane protein MoRFs in relation to a protein’s topology was determined. Transmembrane protein topology was determined based on experimentally derived data from ExTopoDB (Tsaousis et al., 2010) and Uniprot.
The process is automated so that new MoRFs can be collected from membrane proteins, as novel structures are deposited in PDB. Finally, we organized all data in a publicly available MySQL database, with a user-friendly web interface based on HTML, CSS, PHP and Javascript. Protein information can be accessed through three different file formats (Fasta, Text and XML), apart from the classic web view. Moreover, the entire database can be downloaded locally for further analysis.

3 RESULTS

The database includes 173 membrane proteins containing 244 MoRFs. Membrane proteins are divided in 102 transmembrane proteins (70 single-spanning and 32 multi-spanning) and 71 peripheral membrane proteins. MoRFs were classified in categories according to their secondary structure when bound to their partners, with 33.47% categorized as α-MoRFs, 3.83% as β-MoRFs, 60.48% as i-MoRFs and 2.22% as complex-MoRFs.

In the main page of mpMoRFsDB, a user may find links to the following tools: Browse, Search, Blast Search and Download. Through the Browse page, the user has the ability to browse all the entries. Moreover, there is an option for browsing by membrane protein type (transmembrane or peripheral) or by the secondary structure of MoRFs (α-MoRFs, β-MoRFs, i-MoRFs and complex-MoRFs). Through Search, the user may submit advanced queries, whereas through Blast Search, we provide an interface for running Blast searches against the database. Each entry contains information about the respective membrane protein and related MoRFs. A Jmol (Hanson, 2010) applet is integrated for visualization of the structures, and cross-references to many publicly available databases are included, providing information for protein domains, molecular interactions and diseases. In the case of transmembrane proteins, we determined whether the MoRFs are positioned in the cytoplasmic or the extracellular space. We observed that the majority of MoRFs in transmembrane proteins are found in the cytoplasmic side.

4 DISCUSSION

A database containing MoRFs in membrane proteins was constructed. Data were collected with automated Perl scripts and verified manually. The whole process can easily be repeated, and we intend to update the database every 6 months. The proteins in our database are highly connected nodes in protein interaction networks (52% of mpMoRFsDB’s entries have more than five interactions in molecular interaction databases) and are essential to cell survival (Jeong et al., 2001). mpMoRFsDB provides an up-to-date dataset, which can be used for the design and evaluation of methods predicting MoRFs in membrane proteins. The database will contribute to the emerging ‘protein non-folding problem’ (Dill and MacCallum, 2012) and provide insights in disorder-based interactions in membrane proteins.
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